



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,769	12/01/2005	Sylvie Sauvageo	1169-037	6482
35161	7590	02/26/2009	EXAMINER	
DICKINSON WRIGHT PLLC			JOIKE, MICHELE K	
1875 Eye Street, NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 1200				1636
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/26/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/539,769	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SAUVAIGO, SYLVIE
	<b>Examiner</b> MICHELE K. JOIKE	<b>Art Unit</b> 1636

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Michele K. Joice. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) William Beaumont. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 24 February 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 22.

Identification of prior art discussed: US 2002/0022228 and You et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: There was discussion about a proposed declaration concerning the interpretation of You et al in light of US 2002/0022228 and distinguishing the references from claim 22. The discussion focused on whether US 2002/0022228, in light of You et al, teaches the use of supercoiled DNA.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

**THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.** (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Michele K Joike/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1636